



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/648,125	08/25/2003	Steve Grove	2043.102US1	3210
49845	7590	12/04/2008	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG & WOESSNER/EBAY			TRUONG, CAM Y T	
P.O. BOX 2938				
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2169	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@SLWIP.COM

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/648,125	GROVE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cam Y T. Truong	2169	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 June 2008.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-7,9-19,21-29,31-36 and 39-41 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-7,9-19,21-29,31-36 and 39-41 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant has amended claims 1, 3, 13, 15, 23 and 25 and added claims 39-41 in the amendment filed on 6/23/2008.

Claims 1-7, 9-19, 21-29, 31-36, 39-41 are pending in this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7, 9-19, 21-29, 31-36 and 39-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

a. Applicant argued that Boyden does not teach "receive an indication from the user to indicate a selection of a selected listing; the proposed listing including listing data".

Examiner respectfully disagrees. Boyden¹ teaches by selecting the link for the 1999 saab 9-5SE shown in the list 305, the buyer system send a request to the auction server system to display the detail page 300c; displaying data including the link on page 300c (paragraph 0043).

b. Applicant argued that Boyden does not teach claims 1, 13 and 23.

Examiner respectfully disagrees.

As to claim 1, Boyden teaches a method of generating a listing in a network-based commerce system (generating data in fields 218-220 in a network based

Art Unit: 2169

commerce system, page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23; page 3, paragraph [0024], lines 4-10), the method including:

“receiving listing identification data from a seller, the listing identification data capable of being used to identify a good or a service” as the input section 202 can include a search tool 204 having an input fields 205 and a button 206 to search for vehicles in the list 201 by Vehicle Identification Number (VIN). Fig 2B shows an example of a vehicle work sheet page 200b to modify data for a vehicle that was already on the list 201 of the work list page 200a. The vehicle data includes 213 and 214. The above information shows that to display the vehicle data as shown in fig. 2B, the system receives VIN from a user and retrieves the a specific vehicle based on the inputted VIN by the user (fig. 2A, page 4, col. Left, lines 2-7; page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-9, paragraph 0013);

“searching a database of reference listing data using the listing identification data to locate a plurality of similar listings posted within a network-based commerce system” as the input section 202 can include a search tool 204 having an input fields 205 and a button 206 to search for vehicles in the list 201 by Vehicle Identification Number (VIN). Fig 2G shows various seller report pages 200g-200j that are generated by the server and sent to the seller system. The above information shows that system searches the list 201 as a database of reference listing data to display or locate the seller report pages on an interface for viewing. The report includes items about vehicles. The items are not similar listings (figs. 2A & 2B, page 4, col. Left, lines 2-7; page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-9, paragraph [0036], lines 1-3);

“generating a proposed listing to present to the seller, the proposed listing including listing data from the selected similar listing” as displaying a page includes data 213 and 214. The page is represented as a proposed listing. The proposed listing does not include the similar listing (fig. 2B, page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-3, paragraph 0013);

“allowing the seller to modify the listing data of the proposed listing to creating a list” as prior to posting or sending the data entered in fields 218-220 to a database in the auction server system, the system allows the user to edit the vehicle data of the page 200b in fig. 2B by clicking on either links such as 1. Modify vehicle configuration, 2. Modify condition report, 3. Update mileage & pricing (figs. 2B & 2C, page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-21, col. Right, lines 17-23, paragraph 0013);

“resulting in the listing” as after the user to edit vehicle data and click on update icon, the system indicates Mileage & pricing of the vehicle data are updated (fig. 2C page 4, paragraph [0033], lines 1-10);

“posting the listing in a database of the network-based commerce system” as sending the data entered in the fields 218-220 to a data-record for the vehicle in the auction server system. More specifically, the seller system sends the data entered in the fields to a database in the auction server (page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23);

“receiving an indication from the seller to indicate a selection of a selected listing” as by selecting the link for the 1999 saab 9-5SE shown in the list 305, the buyer system send a request to the auction server system to display the detail page 300c;

“the proposed listing including listing data” as displaying data including the link on page 300c (paragraph 0043).

Claims 13 and 23 have similar claimed limitations as discussed in claim 1. Thus, Boyden teaches all of claimed limitations of claims 13 and 23 as discussed in claim 1.

c. Applicant argued that the office action did not make out a prima facie case of obviousness in connection with any of the above rejection because even if combined, the cited reference fail to teach all of the elements of the application's claimed invention.

Applicant argued the 103 rejection for dependent claims 10, 22, 32, 11, 12, 33-34, 36 are improper because the combinations of cited references do not teach the claims 1, 12 and 23.

In response to applicant's argument, claims 1-7, 9-19, 21-29, 31-36 and 39-41 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 6, 18 and 28 are objected to because of the following informalities:

The term "the user" in claim 6 should be written as "a user" to provide sufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The term "the user" in claim 18 should be written as "a user" to provide sufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The term "the user" in claim 28 should be written as "a user" to provide sufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the user" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "the user" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 24 recites the limitation "the user" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims 1-4, 7, 9, 13-16, 19, 21, 23-26, 29, 31, 35, and 39-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyden et al (or hereinafter “Boyden”) (US2003/0036964 A1) in view of Raines (US 7228298).

As to claim 1, Boyden teaches a method of generating a listing in a network-based commerce system (generating data in fields 218-220 in a network based commerce system, page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23; page 3, paragraph [0024], lines 4-10), the method including:

“receiving listing identification data from a seller, the listing identification data capable of being used to identify a good or a service” as the input section 202 can include a search tool 204 having an input fields 205 and a button 206 to search for vehicles in the list 201 by Vehicle Identification Number (VIN). Fig 2B shows an example of a vehicle work sheet page 200b to modify data for a vehicle that was already on the list 201 of the work list page 200a. The vehicle data includes 213 and 214. The above information shows that to display the vehicle data as shown in fig. 2B,

the system receives VIN from a user and retrieves the a specific vehicle based on the inputted VIN by the user (fig. 2A, page 4, col. Left, lines 2-7; page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-9, paragraph 0013);

“searching a database of reference listing data using the listing identification data to locate a plurality of similar listings posted within a network-based commerce system” as the input section 202 can include a search tool 204 having an input fields 205 and a button 206 to search for vehicles in the list 201 by Vehicle Identification Number (VIN).

Fig 2G shows various seller report pages 200g-200j that are generated by the server and sent to the seller system. The above information shows that system searches the list 201 as a database of reference listing data to display or locate the seller report pages on an interface for viewing. The report includes items about vehicles. The items are not similar listings (figs. 2A & 2B, page 4, col. Left, lines 2-7; page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-9, paragraph [0036], lines 1-3);

“generating a proposed listing to present to the seller, the proposed listing including listing data from the selected similar listing” as displaying a page includes data 213 and 214. The page is represented as a proposed listing. The proposed listing does not include the similar listing (fig. 2B, page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-3, paragraph 0013);

“ allowing the seller to modify the listing data of the proposed listing to create a list” as prior to posting or sending the data entered in fields 218-220 to a database in the auction server system, the system allows the user to edit the vehicle data of the page 200b in fig. 2B by clicking on either links such as 1. Modify vehicle configuration, 2.

Modify condition report, 3. Update mileage & pricing (figs. 2B & 2C, page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-21, col. Right, lines 17-23, paragraph 0013);

resulting in the listing as after the user to edit vehicle data and click on update icon, the system indicates Mileage & pricing of the vehicle data are updated (fig. 2C page 4, paragraph [0033], lines 1-10);

“posting the listing in a database of the network-based commerce system” as sending the data entered in the fields 218-220 to a data-record for the vehicle in the auction server system. More specifically, the seller system sends the data entered in the fields to a database in the auction server (page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23);

“wherein the listing, once posted” as the seller sends the data entered in the fields to a data-record for the vehicle in the auction server system. Then the auction server system provides a report 300e to a buyer system. The report 300e includes data about the vehicle from the data-record in the auction server database (abstract, fig. 3E, page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23; page 6, col. Left, lines 1-10);

“receiving an indication from the seller selecting a selected listing” as by selecting the link for the 1999 saab 9-5SE shown in the list 305, the buyer system send a request to the auction server system to display the detail page 300c;

“ the proposed listing including listing data” as displaying data including the link on page 300c (paragraph 0043).

Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation “a plurality of similar listings; from the plurality of similar listings; from the selected similar listing; representing an offering of the good or service for sale”.

Raines teaches displaying a plurality of similar cars and representing an offering of car for sale (figs. 6, 12, 15; col. 7, lines 50-67).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Raines's teaching of displaying a plurality of similar cars and representing an offering of car for sale to Boyden's system in order to allow a user select a particular similar item listing so that the service can retrieve another similar item lists based on the selected similar list and further to predict the interests of users based on the user's indication so that the system provide a recommendation of similar items based on the interests of users.

As to claim 2, Boyden teaches the claimed limitation "which includes allowing the user to accept the listing, prior to posting the listing" as allowing a user to update or cancel updating the data record for the specific vehicle. The above information indicates that the system allows a user to accept the data record or deny the data record before posting the data record to the server (page 4, paragraph [0033], lines 6-10; page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23).

As to claims 3 and 25, Boyden and Bowman teach the claimed limitation subject matter in claim 1 and 23, Bowman further teaches "wherein a database of listing data is associated with at least one of movies, music, games, or books" as (col. 26, lines 20-25).

As to claims 4, and 16, Boyden teaches the claimed limitation “which includes: generating a user interface with a plurality of fields; and populating the plurality of fields with the listing data” as generating a web page with a plurality of fields 304 and populating the fields 304 with the vehicle data (fig. 3A-3B, page 5, paragraph [0041], lines 15-22).

As to claims 7, 19 and 29, Boyden teaches the claimed limitation “wherein the listing data includes at least one of a group including a title of the listing, a description of the listing, and an image related to the listing” as vehicle data includes vehicle description (fig. 3A).

As to claim 9, Boyden teaches claimed limitation “wherein the listing identification data is a Vehicle Identification Number (VIN), the method including retrieving listing data including a model year of the vehicle, a manufacturer of the vehicle, a number of doors of the vehicle, or an engine capacity of the vehicle” as a Vehicle Identification Number (fig. 2A), retrieving vehicle data includes model of year of the vehicle (fig. 3A, page 5, paragraph [0042]).

As to claim 13, Boyden teaches the same claimed limitation in claim 1, Boyden further teaches a machine-readable medium including a sequence of instructions that, when executed by a machine (the auction server system displays a seller work-list web

page 200a in response to a request from a seller system. The above information indicates that the server system has included a computer readable medium, which includes instructions for responding to seller's request, page 3, paragraph [0030], lines 1-4; page 12, col. Right, lines 5-7), "cause the machine to:

"receive listing identification data from a seller requesting posting of a listing on a network-based commerce system, the listing identification data capable of being used to identify a good or service" as the input section 202 can include a search tool 204 having an input fields 205 and a button 206 to search for vehicles in the list 201 by Vehicle Identification Number (VIN). Fig 2B shows an example of a vehicle work sheet page 200b to modify data for a vehicle that was already on the list 201 of the work list page 200a before posting to a server. The vehicle data includes 213 and 214. The above information shows that to display the vehicle data as shown in fig. 2B, the system receives VIN from a seller and retrieves the a specific vehicle based on the inputted VIN by the user (fig. 2A, page 4, col. Left, lines 2-7; page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-9; page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23, paragraph 0013);

"searching a database of listing data using the listing identification data to locate a plurality of similar listings posted within a network-based commerce system" as the input section 202 can include a search tool 204 having an input fields 205 and a button 206 to search for vehicles in the list 201 by Vehicle Identification Number (VIN). Fig 2G shows various seller report pages 200g-200j that are generated by the server and sent to the seller system. The above information shows that system searches the list 201 as a database of reference listing data to display or locate the seller report pages on an

interface for viewing. The report includes items about vehicles. Items are not similar listing (figs. 2A & 2B, page 4, col. Left, lines 2-7; page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-9, paragraph [0036], lines 1-3);

“receive an indication from the seller to select a selected listing” as by selecting the link for the 1999 saab 9-5SE shown in the list 305, the buyer system send a request to the auction server system to display the detail page 300c (paragraph 0013).

“generate a proposed listing to present to the seller,” as displaying a page includes data 213 and 214. The page is represented as a proposed listing. The proposed listing does not include similar listing (fig. 2B, page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-3, paragraph 0013);

“the proposed listing including listing data” as displaying data including the link on page 300c (paragraph 0043);

“allow the seller to modify the listing data in the proposed listing to create a listing” as prior to posting or sending the data entered in fields 218-220 to a database in the auction server system, the system allows the user to edit the vehicle data of the page 200b in fig. 2B by clicking on either links such as 1. Modify vehicle configuration, 2. Modify condition report, 3. Update mileage & pricing (figs. 2B & 2C, page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-21, col. Right, lines 17-23);

resulting in the listing as after the user to edit vehicle data and click on update icon, the system indicates Mileage & pricing of the vehicle data are updated (fig. 2c, page 4, paragraph [0033], lines 1-10);

“post the listing in a database of the network-based commerce system” sending the data entered in the fields 218-220 to a data-record for the vehicle in the auction server system. More specifically, the seller system sends the data entered in the fields to a database in the auction server (page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23);

“the listing, once posted” as the seller sends the data entered in the fields to a data-record for the vehicle in the auction server system. Then the auction server system provides a report 300e to a buyer system. The report 300e include data about the vehicle from the data-record in the auction server database (fig. 3E, page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23; page 6, col. Left, lines 1-10; paragraph 0013).

Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation “representing an offering of a good or service for sale; a plurality of similar listings; from the plurality of similar listings; from the selected similar listing”.

Raines teaches displaying a plurality of similar cars and representing an offering of car for sale (figs. 6, 12, 15; col. 7, lines 50-67).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Raines’s teaching of displaying a plurality of similar cars and representing an offering of car for sale to Boyden’s system in order to allow a user select a particular similar item listing so that the service can retrieve another similar item lists based on the selected similar list and further to predict the interests of users based on the user’s indication so that the system provide a recommendation of similar items based on the interests of users.

As to claim 14, Boyden teaches the claimed limitation “wherein the user is allowed to accept the listing, prior to posting the listing” as allowing a user to update or cancel updating the data record for the specific vehicle or canceling the data update (page 4, paragraph [0033], lines 6-10).

As to claim 15, Boyden teaches the claimed limitation “wherein the network-based commerce system includes a database of listing data associated with at least one of a group including movies, music, games, books and motor vehicles” as a database of listing data associated with motor vehicle (figs. 2A & 2E, page 4, col. right, lines 17-23).

As to claims 21 and 31, Boyden teaches claimed limitation “wherein the listing identification data is a Vehicle Identification Number (VIN) of a vehicle, the listing data includes a model year of the vehicle, a manufacturer of the vehicle, a number of doors of the vehicle, or an engine capacity of the vehicle” as a Vehicle Identification Number (fig. 2A), retrieving vehicle data includes model of year of the vehicle (fig. 3A, page 5, paragraph [0042]).

As to claim 23, Boyden teaches a network-based commerce system, which includes at least one server (an electronic auction server system is linked to sellers and buyer systems, page 3, paragraph [0024], lines 8-9):

“receive listing identification data from a seller requesting posting of a listing on a network-based commerce system, the listing identification data capable of being used to identify a good or service” as the input section 202 can include a search tool 204 having an input fields 205 and a button 206 to search for vehicles in the list 201 by Vehicle Identification Number (VIN). Fig 2B shows an example of a vehicle work sheet page 200b to modify data for a vehicle that was already on the list 201 of the work list page 200a before posting to a server. The vehicle data includes 213 and 214. The above information shows that to display the vehicle data as shown in fig. 2B, the system receives VIN from a user and retrieves the a specific vehicle based on the inputted VIN by the user (fig. 2A, page 4, col. Left, lines 2-7; page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-9; page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23, paragraph 0013);

“receive an indication from the seller to indicate a selection of a selected listing” as by selecting the link for the 1999 saab 9-5SE shown in the list 305, the buyer system send a request to the auction server system to display the detail page 300c (paragraph 0013);

“searching a database of listing data using the listing identification data to locate a plurality of similar listings posted within a network-based commerce system” as the input section 202 can include a search tool 204 having an input fields 205 and a button 206 to search for vehicles in the list 201 by Vehicle Identification Number (VIN). Fig 2G shows various seller report pages 200g-200j that are generated by the server and sent to the seller system. The above information shows that system searches the list 201 as a database of reference listing data to display or locate the seller report pages on an

interface for viewing. The report includes items about vehicles. The items are not similar listing (figs. 2A & 2B, page 4, col. Left, lines 2-7; page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-9, paragraph [0036], lines 1-3);

“generate a proposed listing to present to the seller, the proposed listing including the similar listing” as displaying a page includes data 213 and 214. The page is represented as a proposed listing. The proposed listing does not include similar listing (fig. 2B, page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-3, paragraph 0013);

“allow the seller to modify the listing data in the proposed listing to create a listing” as prior to posting or sending the data entered in fields 218-220 to a database in the auction server system, the system allows the user to edit the vehicle data of the page 200b in fig. 2B by clicking on either links such as 1. Modify vehicle configuration, 2. Modify condition report, 3. Update mileage & pricing (figs. 2B & 2C, page 4, paragraph [0032], lines 1-21, col. Right, lines 17-23);

resulting in the listing as after the user to edit vehicle data and click on update icon, the system indicates Mileage & pricing of the vehicle data are updated (fig 2C, page 4, paragraph [0033], lines 1-10);

“post the listing in a database of the network-based commerce system” as sending the data entered in the fields 218-220 to a data-record for the vehicle in the auction server system. More specifically, the seller system sends the data entered in the fields to a database in the auction server (page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23);

“the listing, once posted” as the seller sends the data entered in the fields to a data-record for the vehicle in the auction server system. Then the auction server

system provides a report 300e to a buyer system. The report 300e includes data about the vehicle from the data-record in the auction server database (abstract, fig 3E, page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23; page 6, col. Left, lines 1-10);

“the proposed listing including listing data” as displaying data including the link on page 300c (paragraph 0043).

Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation “representing an offering of a good or service for sale; a plurality of similar listings; from the plurality of similar listings; from the selected similar listing”.

Raines teaches displaying a plurality of similar cars and representing an offering of car for sale (figs. 6, 12, 15; col. 7, lines 50-67).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Raines’s teaching of displaying a plurality of similar cars and representing an offering of car for sale to Boyden’s system in order to allow a user select a particular similar item listing so that the service can retrieve another similar item lists based on the selected similar list and further to predict the interests of users based on the user’s indication so that the system provide a recommendation of similar items based on the interests of users.

As to claim 24, Boyden teaches the claimed limitation “which allows the user to accept the listing prior to posting the listing” as allowing a user to update or cancel updating the data record for the specific vehicle. The above information indicates that the system allow a user to accept the data record or deny the data record before

posting the data record to the server (page 4, paragraph [0033], lines 6-10; page 4, col. Right, lines 17-23).

As to claim 26, Boyden teaches the claimed limitation “the server generates a user interface with a plurality of fields; and populating the fields with the listing data” as generating a web page with a plurality of fields 304 and populating the fields 304 with the vehicle data (fig. 3A-3B, page 5, paragraph [0041], lines 1-10).

As to claim 35, Boyden teaches the claimed limitation “the offering includes an auction listing” as showing pricing or sale listing for vehicles (fig. 3B).

As to claims 39-41, Boyden teaches the claimed limitation “wherein the database of listing data includes motor vehicle data” (figs. 2A & 2E, page 4, col. right, lines 17-23).

7. Claims 5-6, 17-18, 27-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyden et al (or hereinafter “Boyden”) (US 2003/0036964 A1) in view of Raines (US 7228298) and further in view of Grefenstette et al (or hereinafter “Grefenstette”) (US 6928425).

As to claim 5, Boyden does not explicitly disclose the claimed limitation, “which includes providing a plurality of check boxes each of which is associated with an

attribute of the listing and automatically without human intervention checking attributes based on the listing data". Grefenstette FIG. 8 illustrates a client interface 800 for invoking a print command at the computer 226. In addition to well known print property settings, the client interface offers enrichment property buttons 802. The enrichment property buttons 802 enable a user to manually select a personality to apply to a given print request at 804 or have the meta-document server select a personality automatically for the user at 806. In addition, the enrichment property buttons 802 allow a user to apply the enrichment to selected pages or content at 808. Also, the enrichment property buttons 802 allow a user to specify whether the enrichment is inserted in the print request in the form of links or as additional content at 810 (col. 17, lines 40-55).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Grefenstette's teaching of the enrichment property buttons 802 enable a user to manually select a personality to apply to a given print request at 804 or have the meta-document server select a personality automatically for the user at 806 to Boyden's system in order to save time for a user to fill out detail information about an item during searching/retrieving the item in a large database on a network system.

As to claim 17, Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "wherein a plurality of check boxes are provided, each check box being associated with an attribute

of the listing and selectively being automatically checked based on the listing data without human intervention".

Grefenstette FIG. 8 illustrates a client interface 800 for invoking a print command at the computer 226. In addition to well known print property settings, the client interface offers enrichment property buttons 802. The enrichment property buttons 802 enable a user to manually select a personality to apply to a given print request at 804 or have the meta-document server select a personality automatically for the user at 806. In addition, the enrichment property buttons 802 allow a user to apply the enrichment to selected pages or content at 808. Also, the enrichment property buttons 802 allow a user to specify whether the enrichment is inserted in the print request in the form of links or as additional content at 810.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Grefenstette's teaching of the enrichment property buttons 802 enable a user to manually select a personality to apply to a given print request at 804 or have the meta-document server select a personality automatically for the user at 806 to Boyden's system in order to save time for a user to fill out detail information about an item during searching/retrieving the item in a large database on a network system.

As to claim 27, Boyden does not explicitly disclose the claimed limitation, "which provides a plurality of check boxes each of which are associated with an attribute of the

listing and automatically without human intervention checking attributes based on the listing data".

Grefenstette FIG. 8 illustrates a client interface 800 for invoking a print command at the computer 226. In addition to well known print property settings, the client interface offers enrichment property buttons 802. The enrichment property buttons 802 enable a user to manually select a personality to apply to a given print request at 804 or have the meta-document server select a personality automatically for the user at 806. In addition, the enrichment property buttons 802 allow a user to apply the enrichment to selected pages or content at 808. Also, the enrichment property buttons 802 allow a user to specify whether the enrichment is inserted in the print request in the form of links or as additional content at 810.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Grefenstette's teaching of the enrichment property buttons 802 enable a user to manually select a personality to apply to a given print request at 804 or have the meta-document server select a personality automatically for the user at 806 to Boyden's system in order to save time for a user to fill out detail information about an item during searching/retrieving the item in a large database on a network system.

As to claim 6, Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "which includes allowing the user to modify checks in the check boxes". Grefenstette teaches

a user can modify a check box that associated with an attribute (fig. 8, col. 17, lines 42-55).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Grefenstette's teaching of a user can modify a check box that associated with a attribute Boyden's system in order to allow a user to view a specific feature of a item as user's desire.

As to claim 18, Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "wherein the user is allowed to modify checks in the check boxes".

Grefenstette teaches a user can modify a check box that associated with an attribute (fig. 8, col. 17, lines 42-55).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Grefenstette's teaching of a user can modify a check box that associated with a attribute Boyden's system in order to allow a user to view a specific feature of a item as user's desire.

As to claim 28, Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "which allows the user to modify checks in the check boxes".

Grefenstette teaches a user can modify a check box that associated with an attribute (fig. 8, col. 17, lines 42-55).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Grefenstette's teaching of a user can modify a check

box that associated with a attribute Boyden's system in order to allow a user to view a specific feature of a item as user's desire.

9. Claims 10, 22 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyden et al (or hereinafter "Boyden") (US2003/0036964 A1) in view of Raines (US 7228298) and further in view of Maze et al (or hereinafter "Maze") (US 6216264).

As to claim 10, Boyden does not teach the claimed limitation "wherein the listing identification data is at least one of a movie title or UPC code, the method including retrieving listing data in the form of details on the movie". Maze teaches movie title and retrieving details of the movie on an form 320 as shown in fig. 3 (col. 3, lines 10-20; col. 2, lines 53-54).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Maze's teaching of movie title and retrieving details of the movie on a form 320 to Boyden's system in order to allow a user to save time searching/retrieving a particular music and further to prevent producing query results that contain relatively large number of irrelevant movies.

As to claim 22, Boyden does not teach the claimed limitation "wherein the listing identification data is one of a movie title or UPC code, and the listing data includes details on the movie". Maze teaches movie title and retrieving details of the movie on an form 320 as shown in fig. 3 (col. 3, lines 10-20; col. 2, lines 53-54).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Maze's teaching of movie title and retrieving details of the movie on a form 320 to Boyden's system in order to allow a user to save time searching/retrieving a particular music and further to prevent producing query results that contain relatively large number of irrelevant movie.

As to claim 32, Boyden does not teach the claimed limitation "wherein the listing identification data is one of a movie title and UPC code, the system retrieves the listing data in the form of details on the movie". Maze teaches movie title and retrieving details of the movie on an form 320 as shown in fig. 3 (col. 3, lines 10-20; col. 2, lines 53-54).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Maze's teaching of movie title and retrieving details of the movie on a form 320 to Boyden's system in order to allow a user to save time searching/retrieving a particular music and further to prevent producing query results that contain relatively large number of irrelevant movies.

10. Claims 11, 12, 33 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyden et al (or hereinafter "Boyden") (US2003/0036964 A1) in view of Raines (US 7228298) and further in view of Ortega et al (or hereinafter "Ortega") (US 6144958).

As to claim 11, Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "wherein the listing identification data is at least one of a book title or a UPC code, the method

including retrieving listing data in the form of details on the book". Ortega teaches allow a user to search book item based on book titles. Fig. 2 illustrates the general format of a search book page that can be used to search the bibliographic database for book titles. The page includes author, title and subject files. The search book page is represented as a form of details on the book (col. 3, lines 53-61; col. 4, lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Ortega's teaching of allow a user to search item based book title and to access a search book page to search for author, title and label fields to Boyden's system to allow a user to save time searching/retrieving a particular book and further to prevent to produce query results that contain relatively large number of irrelevant books.

As to claim 12, Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "wherein the listing identification data is at least one of a music title or UPC code, the method including retrieving the listing data in the form of details on the music item". Ortega teaches allowing a user to search music based on music title. Also, a user can access a music search page to search for music title using the artist, title and label fields. The search music page is represented as the form of details on the music (col. 3, lines 53-61; col. 4, lines 1-10).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Ortega's teaching of allow a user to search item based music titles and to access a search music page to search for music title using the artist,

title and label fields to Boyden's system to allow a user to save time searching/retrieving a particular music and further to prevent to produce query results that contain relatively large number of irrelevant music.

As to claim 33, Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "wherein the listing identification data is one of a book title or UPC code, the system retrieves the listing data in the form of details on the book". Ortega teaches allow a user to search book item based on book titles. Fig. 2 illustrates the general format of a search book page that can be used to search the bibliographic database for book titles. The page includes author, title and subject files. The search book page is represented as a form of details on the book (col. 3, lines 53-61; col. 4, lines 1-5).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Ortega's teaching of allow a user to search item based book title and to access a search book page to search for author, title and label fields to Boyden's system to allow a user to save time searching/retrieving a particular book and further to prevent to produce query results that contain relatively large number of irrelevant books.

As to claim 34, Boyden does not explicitly teach the claimed limitation "wherein the listing identification data is one of a music title or UPC code, the system retrieves the listing data in the form of details on the music". Ortega teaches allowing a user to search music based on music title. Also, a user can access a music search page to

search for music title using the artist, title and label fields. The search music page is represented as the form of details on the music (col. 3, lines 53-61; col. 4, lines 1-10).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Ortega's teaching of allow a user to search item based music titles and to access a search music page to search for music title using the artist, title and label fields to Boyden's system to allow a user to save time searching/retrieving a particular music and further to prevent to produce query results that contain relatively large number of irrelevant music.

11. Claim 36 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boyden et al (or hereinafter "Boyden") (US2003/0036964 A1) in view of Raines (US 7228298) and further in view of Bezos et al (or hereinafter "Bezos") (US 6029141).

As to claim 36, Boyden does not explicitly disclose the claimed limitation "the offering includes a fixed-price offering". Bezos teaches a fixed-price offering for good is provided to a user (fig. 10b).

It would have been obvious to a person of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply Bezos' s teaching of teaches a fixed-price offering for good is provided to a user to Boyden's system in order to provide an electronic commerce solution by which preventing a user to negotiate price for a product for increasing sale products quickly.

Conclusion

12. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cam Y T. Truong whose telephone number is (571) 272-4042. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tony Mahmoudi can be reached on (571) 272-4078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Cam Y Truong/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169

Application/Control Number: 10/648,125
Art Unit: 2169

Page 31